## Washington Invasive Ranking System Washington Natural Heritage Program

# Veronica arvensis (Corn Speedwell)

Assessed by Regina Johnson (Assistant Natural Areas Ecologist, Westside, Washington Dept. of Natural Resources) 4 December 2024 (WIRS Version 1.5)

Ecological Impact Rank: Insignificant (12)	Confidence: Moderate (67)
Management Difficulty Rank: Insignificant (0)	Confidence: High (100)
Biological Characteristics of Invasiveness: Low (40)	Confidence: Moderate (38)
Concern Related to Distribution and Abundance: Moderate (56)	Confidence: High (70)



**Photo Credit:** Steve Matson 2008, used under Creative Commons license (CalPhotos, 2024).

### **Ranking Notes**

Rapid assessment only, based primarily on professional expertise.

## Legal Listings

Washington State Weed Board: No

### Washington Invasive Species Council: No

## Section 1: Distribution and Abundance



**Figure 1.** Distribution of counties where *Veronica arvensis* has been documented in Washington State (CPNWH, 2024; EDDMapS, 2024; iNaturalist Community, 2024).

### Q1: Current Range Size in Washington

Rating: High

Confidence: High

*Veronica arvensis* is documented in 90% of counties in Washington State (CPNWH, 2024; EDDMapS, 2024; iNaturalist Community, 2024).

Source: Professional expertise, Herbarium records and other observations



Washington Invasive Ranking System: *Veronica arvensis* 

Q2: Current Trend in Total Range

Rating: Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional Expertise

Q3: Proportion of Potential Range Currently Unoccupied

Rating: Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional Expertise

Q4: Local Range Expansion or Change in Abundance

Rating: Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q5: Diversity of Ecosystems Invaded <u>Ecosystem types</u>: Forest & Woodland, Grassland & Shrubland

Rating: Low

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

## **Section 2: Biological Characteristics**

### **Q6: Aggressive Mode of Reproduction**

Rating: Unknown

Confidence: Not Rated

Source:

**Q7: Innate Potential for Long-Distance Dispersal** <u>Rating</u>: Unknown

Confidence: Not Rated

Source:

**Q8: Potential to be Spread by Human Activities** <u>Rating</u>: Yes

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

**Q9: Allelopathy** 

Rating: Unknown

Confidence: Not Rated

Source:

#### **Q10: Competitive for Limiting Abiotic Factors**

<u>Rating</u>: No

Confidence: Moderate

This species comes up pretty early in spring, but it is likely too small and low in cover to seriously deplete soil moisture.

Source: Professional Expertise

Q11: Growth Form

<u>Rating</u>: No

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

#### **Q12: Germination Requirements**

<u>Rating</u>: No

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

#### Q13: Invasiveness of Other Plants in Genus

Rating: Yes

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

**Q14: Shade Tolerance** Rating: Moderate

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

**Q15: Disturbance Tolerance** Rating: No

Confidence: Moderate



Commonly establishes following disturbance, but does not appear to gain a competitive advantage from it.

Source: Professional expertise

#### **Q16: Propagule Persistence**

Rating: Unknown

Confidence: Not Rated

Source:

**Q17: Palatability** <u>Rating</u>: Yes, plant is unpalatable <u>Confidence</u>: Low

Small size makes it unlikely to be grazed.

Source: Professional expertise

## **Section 3: Ecological Impact**

#### **Q18: Impact on Ecosystem Abiotic Processes**

<u>Abiotic Processes</u>: None listed <u>Rating</u>: Insignificant <u>Confidence</u>: Moderate <u>Source</u>: Professional expertise **Q19: Impact on Ecosystem Structure** <u>Rating</u>: Insignificant

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

**Q20: Impact on Ecosystem Composition** <u>Rating</u>: Low

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

**Q21: Impact on Particular Native Species** <u>Rating</u>: Insignificant

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q22: Observed Ability to Invade Undisturbed Ecosystems

Rating: Insignificant

Confidence: Moderate

Source: Professional expertise

Q23: Observed Ability to Invade Naturally Disturbed Ecosystems

Rating: Yes

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

## Section 4: Management Difficulty

Q24: General Management Difficulty

Rating: Insignificant

Confidence: High

This species is not targeted for management.

Source: Professional expertise

**Q25: Minimum Time Commitment** Rating: Insignificant

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q26: Impacts of Management on Native Species

Rating: Insignificant

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

**Q27: Inaccessibility of Invaded Areas** <u>Rating</u>: Insignificant

Confidence: High

Source: Professional expertise

Q28: Sociopolitical Implications of Management Rating: Insignificant Confidence: High



Washington Invasive Ranking System: *Veronica arvensis* 

This species is not targeted for management.

Source: Professional expertise

#### **Additional Comments**

None

#### References

- CalPhotos. 2024. Berkeley Natural History Museums, University of California, Berkeley. https://calphotos.berkeley.edu/. Accessed: December 17, 2024.
- Consortium of Pacific Northwest Herbaria (CPNWH). 2024. Consortium of Pacific Northwest Herbaria Specimen Database. https://www.pnwherbaria.org/data/search.ph p. Accessed: December 20, 2024.
- EDDMapS. 2024. Early Detection & Distribution Mapping System. The University of Georgia - Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health. http://www.eddmaps.org. Accessed: June 17, 2024.
- iNaturalist Community. 2024. Research grade observations from Washington State. https://www.inaturalist.org/. Accessed: December 24, 2024.

